

**AMENDMENT**  
**Request for Proposal**

Amendment Date: December 18, 2012

Amendment Number: 1

Bid Event ID: EVT0001879

Document Number: RFX0000332

Closing Date: January 3, 2013, 2:00 PM

Procurement Officer: Tami Sherley  
Telephone: 785-296-3122  
E-Mail Address: [tami.sherley@da.ks.gov](mailto:tami.sherley@da.ks.gov)  
Web Address: <http://da.ks.gov/purch>

Item: Services, Website Redesign

Agency: Kansas Department of Transportation

Period of Contract: Date of Award through June 30, 2013  
(with the option to renew for three (3) additional one (1) year periods)

**Conditions:**

- 1. See the attached answers to questions submitted to the Procurement and Contracts concerning the above mentioned RFP.**
- 2. Please see the updated Bid Event Details document.**

A signed copy of this Amendment must be submitted with your bid. If your bid response has been returned, submit this Amendment by the closing date indicated above.

I (We) have read and understand this amendment and agree it is a part of my (our) bid response.

NAME OF COMPANY OR FIRM: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

---

Amendment Number 1 EVT0001879 was recently posted to the **Procurement and Contracts** Internet website. **The bid document can be downloaded by going to the following website:**

<http://www.da.ks.gov/purch/RFQ/>

**It is the vendor's responsibility to monitor the Procurement and Contracts website on a regular basis for any changes/addenda.**

1. For primarily near-term scheduling and related logistical arrangement reasons, we are respectfully requesting that the stated Thursday, January 3, 2:00 PM CST RFP Response submission deadline be extended slightly by two weeks to Thursday, January 17, 2:00 PM CST.  
**No.**
2. Section 4.3 specifies the contract end date as 6/28/13. The milestone schedule in 4.5.2 shows the project extending to October 2013, with an additional 6 months of support, for a total timeline until April 2014. Please clarify the intention of the contract end in 6/28/13. Is it solely for purposes of the fiscal year end?  
**6-28-13 is the final delivery date of the project code with all fixes by August 1, 2013. Implementation is June 14 to October 1, 2013 during which time there is support and fixes. October 1, 2013 to April 1, 2014 is 6 months support of the live application. Then contract is renewable yearly for maintenance. The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT.**
3. Section 4.5.1.12 requires the vendor to conduct Factory Unit Testing on a system similar to the KDOT production environment. Will the State provide this system, or must the vendor provision it? If the vendor is to provision it, will the State provide any required software licenses, or is the vendor to provide the supporting database, web mapping, and other licenses required to provision the environment?  
**Vendor will provide environment, licenses, database, web mapping which will be used during development or in the case of an off the shelf product, vendor will provide an environment for factory testing. Where licensed software is concerned, vendor must have development licenses on site, licenses must pass through to the state upon delivery, either using existing or newly provisioned licenses as appropriate. Exact testing specifications for factory unit testing are negotiable.**
4. What is the Period of Contract and the number of any additional periods? The first page of the RFP states the *"Date of Award through June 30, 2013 (with the option to renew for three (3) additional one (1) year periods)"*. Likewise, page 19 Section 4.3 Scope, last sentence states the *"Project is to be completed by June 28, 2013."* However the Section 4.1 Term of Contract states *"The term of this contract is for a year(s) period from the date of award with addition one (1) year renewal(s) by written agreement of the parties."* In addition, Section 4.5.2 Milestones lists several deliverables with the timeline beyond the June 28, 2013 date and the June 30, 2013 date.  
**June 28, 2013 is the final delivery date of the project code with all fixes by August 1, 2013. Implementation is June 14 to October 1, 2013 during which time there is support and fixes. October 1, 2013 to April 1, 2014 is 6 months support of the live application. The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT.**
5. How long duration is the Evaluation of Proposals process from submittal deadline of January 3, 2013 to a Notice of Award?  
**The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT. This question will depend on the number of proposals.**
6. After a Notice of Award, how long duration does the Kansas Department of Administration or Kansas Department of Transportation expect to fully execute a contract with the bidder?

**The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT. This question will depend on the vendor attorneys and KDOT contract negotiations.**

7. The timeline of milestone deliverables listed in Section 4.5.2 of the RFP begin 15 days after the RFP Closing date, but time is still needed for the evaluation of proposals process and the contract execution process. Are the timelines in the RFP negotiable between the awarded bidder and KDOT?

**The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT.**

8. Will the evaluation of proposals evaluate the qualifications first to make a shortlist of qualified bidders before evaluating the cost proposals?

**Yes.**

9. You mention cost as a evaluation factor (1.11) ". is there a budget for this project? Should we assume the proposal needs to be fixed bid.

**Yes, fixed bid.**

10. Integration with KanRoad data ". Can you explain this requirement futher? What kind of documentation exists for KanRoad. What type of systems are used for KanRoad?

**KanRoad is a Road Condition website with construction zones, cameras, and signs at 511.ksdot.org the data are stored in Oracle databases, web forms for data entry and web GIS systems on a virtual server array for display. WICHway uses XML files for speed data. There are Test Procedures, Administration Guide, and Design Documents.**

11. Do you have a third party in mind for Wichita weather?

**Evaluate best available data with best value or at a minimum, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.**

12. What existing licenses and agreements are in place to leverage?

**KDOT uses Oracle 11G, Microsoft SQL Server database software. Esri, Intergraph, Google Maps GIS software. Please refer to 4.6.2 for more information**

13. Under Section 4.2 Specifications - Was the current web application created by a contractor or internally?

**It was created by Intergraph with KDOT requirements.**

14. Under Section 4.2 Specifications - If the application was developed by a contractor, is that contractor eligible to bid on this project?

**Yes.**

15. Under Section 1.1 Evaluation of proposals - Are there any weighting factors on the evaluation criteria?

**No.**

16. Does KDOT have a budget target for this project?

**Yes.**

17. Are any of the funds for this project contingent on being used in the current fiscal year?

**Yes.**

18. We usually do projects like this from our location with on-site meetings as needed. Is this acceptable to KDOT for this project?

**Yes. Please refer to the weekly status report meetings by phone or web specified in 4.5.2**

19. Would KDOT allow VPN secure access to development and testing servers from our location?

**Yes, after the code is delivered for specified periods of time with supervision or web meetings.**

20. Is there any preferences for a Kansas based company versus an out of state company?

**Currently, Kansas has no preference law. Effective January 1, 2013 there will be a preference law. Please refer to KSA 2011 Supp 75-3740.**

21. Is there any preference for a minority/women owned company?

**No.**

22. Is there any over lying reason that there is a June 30, 2013 completion date or is that KDOT's best internal estimate at the current time?

**The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT.**

23. Under Section 4.6.1 Additional Information - Is BingMaps required or could GoogleMaps be used also?

**Google maps can be used within the parameters of the Google Maps license agreement.**

24. Under Section 4.4.3.3 Objectives - Do you expect us to do Usability Study of the existing website 4.3 Scope – says “to evaluate and enhance the current website.....to propose advances in technology that will meet the intent of this RFP without meeting the exact wording, particularly if the website development effort can be leveraged and utilized for mobile applications. Any proposed alternative should be clearly shown and benefits explained.”

**4.3.3.3 Scope - Is asking for a review and analysis of the website to identify opportunities to make it more efficient. Most programmers and project managers have ideas when they see code written by someone else. We would like to leverage that, to have the best website possible. A usability study would be done with real users and that would work well for this purpose.**

**4.5.1.1 Deliverable - requires an analysis of current website strengths and weaknesses in a written report.**

**The Scope contains the words review, analyze, evaluate, recommend, and redesign several times.**

25. Under 4.5.2 Milestones - What date do you expect to award the contract? Concern that the RFP is due on January 3<sup>rd</sup> and the first deliverable is January 18<sup>th</sup>

**The timeline is negotiable depending on limited variables, as determined by KDOT.**

26. Under 3.41 Prices - Is this T&M? If so should we include hourly rates?

**This is a fixed price project with renewal Maintenance at an hourly rate.**

27. Under 5. Cost Sheet - Can we add a line item for project management cost in the cost sheet or do we apply it individually to each cost item.

**No, line item for project management cost.**

28. Under 1.11 - Evaluation of Proposals, are the items listed in priority order? If not can you list them in priority order?

**No. The State of Kansas does not use a weighting system for evaluation and the award will be made in the best interest of the State.**

29. Under 5. Cost Sheet - We can submit optional pricing but these are also listed as deliverables of the contract. Does this mean we give high level pricing and then as part of the project give you a more detail proposal with updated pricing?

**No. Please provide prices per major deliverable. Prices given will be final. Specifically, development and maintenance of mobile applications currently require a defined policy and strategic direction at the State IT level, and therefore may not be chosen.**